



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CL  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,419	04/20/2004	Alfred Berchielli	PC25684A	5347
28880	7590	11/30/2006	EXAMINER	
WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY 2800 PLYMOUTH RD ANN ARBOR, MI 48105			AHMED, HASAN SYED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/828,419	BERCHIELLI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Hasan S. Ahmed	1615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin, classified in class 424, subclass 1.13.
- II. Claim 18, drawn to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and another active drug, classified in class 424, subclass 1.13.
- III. Claims 19-26, drawn to method of preparing a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin, classified in class 424, subclass 1.13.
- IV. Claim 27, drawn to a method of preparing a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and another active drug, classified in class 424, subclass 1.13.
- V. Claim 28, drawn to a method of treatment, classified in class 424, subclass 1.13.
- VI. Claims 29 and 30, drawn to a kit, classified in class 424, subclass 1.13.

\* \* \* \* \*

Claim 1 links inventions I and II; claim 19 links inventions III and IV. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claims, claims 1 and 19. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with

37 CFR 1.104. Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicants are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

\* \* \* \* \*

The inventions are distinct, each from the other for the following reasons:

***Groups I-VI***

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, Group I is directed to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin while Group II is directed to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and at least one additional active drug.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as a pharmaceutical composition not comprising atorvastatin.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. In the instant case, Group I is directed to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin while Group IV is directed to a process of making a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and at least one additional active drug.

Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as a transdermal formulation.

Inventions I and VI are unrelated. In the instant case, Group I is directed to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin while Group VI is directed to a kit.

***Groups II-VI***

Inventions II and III are unrelated. In the instant case, Group II is directed to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and at least one additional active drug while Group III is directed to a process of making a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin.

Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as a unit dosage form not comprising atorvastatin.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. In the instant case, Group II is directed to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and at least one additional active drug while Group V is directed to a method of treating using a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin as the sole active drug.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. In the instant case, Group II is directed to a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and at least one additional active drug while Group VI is directed to a kit.

***Groups III-VI***

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. In the instant case, Group III is directed to a process of making a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin while Group IV is directed to a process of making a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and at least one additional active drug.

Inventions III and V are unrelated. In the instant case, Group III is directed to a process of making a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin while Group V is directed to a method of treating using a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin.

Art Unit: 1615

Inventions III and VI are unrelated. In the instant case, Group III is directed to a process of making a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin while Group VI is directed to a kit.

#### ***Groups IV-VI***

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. In the instant case, Group IV is directed to a process of making a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and at least one additional active drug while Group V is directed to a method of treating using a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin as the sole active drug.

Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. In the instant case, Group IV is directed to a process of making a unit dosage form comprising atorvastatin and at least one additional active drug while Group VI is directed to a kit.

#### ***Groups V and VI***

Inventions V and VI are unrelated. In the instant case, Group V is directed to a method of treating using a unit dosage form, comprising atorvastatin as the sole active drug while Group VI is directed to a kit.

\* \* \* \* \*

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

Art Unit: 1615

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

\* \* \* \* \*

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

\* \* \* \* \*

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

★

***Correspondence***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hasan S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
HUMERA N SHEIKH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
TC-1600